PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	:	ARCHING AUTHORITY			PCT		
				FUI			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
	olicant's or agent's file e form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
_			International filing date (c 18.03.2005	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.03.2004		
Co	ernational Patent Class 07K14/575, C12Q		both national classification	and IPC			
SU	JCAMPO AG						
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	vinion				
		_	MINOH				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. II 図 Box No. III	Priority Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. II	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state	ment of opinion with rega f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i>	.1(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state	ment of opinion with rega f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	.1(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cir Certain docum	ment of opinion with rega f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	□ Box No. II □ Box No. III □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VII	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects	ment of opinion with rega f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited s in the international app	.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such s lication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
2.	□ Box No. II □ Box No. III □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VII	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cri Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ	ment of opinion with rega f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited	.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such s lication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant cho	Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cincertain docum Certain defects Certain observ ON International preligible international preligibl	ment of opinion with regard invention sement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations sents cited in the international approximations on the internation is real Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to	.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such s lication all application ade, this opinion was better the IPEA and the support of the support o	to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant cholenternational Bur will not be so could this opinion is, submit to the IPE	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cincertain docum Certain defects Certain observon ON International prelification of the International prelimited and under Rule and under Rule as provided about A a written replicate of mailing of the International prelimited and the state of mailing of the International prelimited and the state of the International prelimited and the state of the International prelimited and the International Prelimited and International Prelimited International Prelimited International Internationa	ment of opinion with regard invention rement under Rule 43 bistations and explanations rents cited in the international approximations on the international liminary examination is real Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written one by together, where approximation is a present than the solution of the second control of	.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such subject to supporting such subject to su	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement will usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notified the		
2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant cholenternational Bur will not be so could fit this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; circle Certain docum Certain defects Certain observent ON International preligions of the International preligions an Authority au under Rule insidered. As provided about A written repligions and a written repligions and attentions of the service	ment of opinion with regard invention sement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> stations and explanations sents cited in the international approximations on the international approximations on the internation is real Preliminary examination is real Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written one to 500, considered to be a considered to be a considered to 500, co	.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such subject to supporting such subject to su	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement will usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Meyer, W

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8157



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/005601

	Box	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.			gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ander Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.			egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and early to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. t	ype	of material:
	(\boxtimes	a sequence listing
	1		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. f	orm	at of material:
	1	\boxtimes	in written format
	ı	\boxtimes	in computer readable form
	c. t	ime	of filing/furnishing:
			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	١	\boxtimes	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	⊠	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/005601

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. , 25-28, 34, 35					
bed	cause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. , 25-28, 34, 35					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further of	detail	ds .			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/005601

IV Lack of unity of in	ventior	1						
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:								
paid additional fees.								
paid additional fees u	ınder pr	otest.						
not paid additional fe	es.							
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.								
hority considers that the	requirer	ity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3						
lied with								
omplied with for the follow	ving rea	sons:						
separate sheet								
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international								
rts.								
arts relating to claims No	s. 1-24,	29-33 (all	partially)					
V Reasoned statemental applicability; citation	ent und	er Rule 43 explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement					
nt								
(N)	Yes:	Claims						
	No:	Claims	1-24, 29-33					
e step (IS)								
	No:	Claims	1-24, 29-33					
I applicability (IA)		-	1-24, 29-33					
	INO.	Ciairis						
and explanations								
	paid additional fees. paid additional fees used additional fees used additional fees used additional fees and paid additional fees additional	paid additional fees. paid additional fees under promote additional fees. Authority found that the required applicant to pay additional fees. hority considers that the required separate sheet are sepa	paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest. not paid additional fees. Authority found that the requirement of unapplicant to pay additional fees. hority considers that the requirement of unapplicated with complied with for the following reasons: separate sheet uently, this report has been established in rests. arts relating to claims Nos. 1-24, 29-33 (all V Reasoned statement under Rule 4: al applicability; citations and explanation (N) Yes: Claims No: Claims					

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/005601

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

- D1: BROWN MICHAEL D ET AL: "Phylogenetic analysis of Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy mitochondrial DNA's indicates multiple independent occurrences of the common mutations" HUMAN MUTATION, vol. 6, no. 4, 1995, pages 311-325, XP009054854 ISSN: 1059-7794
- D2: HOLLANDER D A ET AL: "OUTFLOW PATHWAY ANOMALIES ASSOCIATED WITH MULTIPLE CYP1B1 MUTATIONS IN CONGENITAL GLAUCOMA" ARVO ANNUAL MEETING ABSTRACT SEARCH AND PROGRAM PLANNER, vol. 2003, 2003, page Abstract No. 1117, XP009054897 & ANNUAL MEETING OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR RESEARCH IN VISION AND OPHTHALMOLOGY; FORT LAUDERDALE, FL, USA; MAY 04-08, 2003
- D3: BU X ET AL: "X CHROMOSOME-LINKED AND MITOCHONDRIAL GENE CONTROL OF LEBER HEREDITARY OPTIC NEUROPATHY EVIDENCE FROM SEGREGATION ANALYSIS FOR DEPENDENCE ON X CHROMOSOME INACTIVATION" PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, vol. 88, no. 18, 1991, pages 8198-8202, XP002347836 ISSN: 0027-8424
- D4: CHINNERY P F ET AL: "The mitochondrial ND6 gene is a hot spot for mutations that cause Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy" BRAIN, vol. 124, no. 1, January 2001 (2001-01), pages 209-218, XP002347837 ISSN: 0006-8950
- D5: WO 02/22881 A (DZGENES, LLC; MOSKOWITZ, DAVID, W) 21 March 2002 (2002-03-21)
- D6: WO 02/24747 A (EPIDAUROS BIOTECHNOLOGIE AG; BRINKMANN, ULRICH; HOFFMEYER, SVEN) 28 March 2002 (2002-03-28)
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-24 and 29-33 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
 - The document D5 is regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
 - Document D5 discloses specific genetic polymorphism associated with optic

neuropathy associated with the expression of endothelin-1 promoter (D5, Abstract and Claims). The subject-matter of present claims therefore differs from this known D5 in that a specific mutation is presented.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the provision of jet another mutation in associated with the expression of the Endothelin gene. The solution proposed in present claims of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) as a skilled person will automatically be lead to determine further mutations.

2. The applicant's attention is further drawn to Documents D2-D4. It appears that these documents are also prejudicial to the novelty and/or the inventive activity (or inventiveness) of the claimed subject-matter.

The document D1 discloses (D1, Abstract) specif genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy (here LHON), or D2, discloses a strong association between optic neuropathy (here congenital glaucoma) and chromosome 2p21 encoding a cytochrome P4501B1 (see D2, Abstract) or D3, disclosing that LHON is not only mitochondrial but also X-chromosome linked (D3 Abstract) orv D4 discloses that not only in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) complex I, but also in NADH:ubiquinone oxireductatse (ND) genes (ND1, ND4 or ND6) (D4, Abstract),

Re Item IV

- 3. The present application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention. 21 separate inventions have been identified. Each of them is characterised by an individual "special technical feature"; there is no technical interrelation between these inventions (see below). The applicants was therefore asked to pay additional search fees.
- 4. Rule 13(2) PCT demands that "Rule 13.1 PCT shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression 'special technical features' shall mean those technical features which define a contribution which each of the claimed invention considered as a whole makes over the prior art." This amounts to a requirement that this single general concept must be novel and inventive. The PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/005601

Preliminary Examination Guidelines C-III 7.6 state more precisely that "if the common matter of the independent claim is well known, and the remaining subject-matter differs without there being any unifying novel concept common to all of them, then clearly there is lack of unity".

- 5. The presently claimed subject-matter does not fulfil the necessary requirements on unity of invention as outlined above: In view of the disclosure of the present application, a technical problem to be solved is the determination of Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) being associated with optic neuropathy (compare page 7 line 7-10) of application.
 - The alleged common technical feature of all solutions to this problem is the provision of genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy (see Claim 1). The available prior art discloses at least one solution to the said technical problem; moreover, the prior art solution shows the above defined technical features: The prior art provide ample opportunities of genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy. For example D1, (Brown Michael D; et al. 1995; Abstract) discloses specif genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy (here LHON), or D2, discloses a strong association between optic neuropathy (here congenital glaucoma) and chromosome 2p21 encoding a cytochrome P4501B1 (see D2, Abstract) or D3, disclosing that LHON is not only mitochondrial but also X-chromosome linked (D3 Abstract) or D4 discloses that not only in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) complex I, but also in NADH:ubiquinone oxireductatse (ND) genes (ND1, ND4 or ND6) (D4, Abstract), D5 discloses single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) in endothelin-1 gene which is associated with various diseases (D5, claims and p 1 first paragraph), D6, discloses single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) which are provided for the genes encoding human endothelin 1 and 2 and 3, endothelin-converting enzyme, and endothelin receptors A and B (D6, Abstract and claims).
- 6. In consequence the solution in its general form as claimed to the problem posed in the present application is therefore not novel. It follows that there is no common special technical feature for the whole scope of the present application that would define an appreciable contribution (e.g. novel and/or non-trivial) over the prior art.
- 7. In view of the prior art (supra), the technical content of the present application has to be rearranged into 21 individual objective problems with independent solutions

(non-unity a posteriori):

- Problem 1: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 1: Provision of a polymorphism associated with expression of the Endothelin 1 gene (coding/non-coding)
- Problem 2: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 2: Provision of a polymorphism associated with expression of the Endothelin Receptor A (coding/non-coding)
- Problem 3: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 3: Provision of a polymorphism associated with expression of the Endothelin Receptor B (coding/non-coding)
- Problem 4: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 4: Provision of a polymorphism associated with the Mitochondrial gene
- Problem 5: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 5: Provision of a polymorphism associated with Angiotensin II type 1 receptor gene promoter region
- Problem 6: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 6: Provision of a polymorphism associated with Angiotensin II type 2 receptor gene promoter region
- Problem 7: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 7: Provision of a polymorphism associated with the Paraoxonase 1 gene I
- Problem 8: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic

neuropathy

- Solution 8: Provision of a polymorphism associated with the Noelin 2 gene
- Problem 9: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 9: Provision of a polymorphism associated with the adrenergic receptor gene
- Problem 10: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 10: Provision of a polymorphism associated the Myocilin gene
- Problem 11: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 11: Provision of a polymorphism associated with Optineurin alone and combinations with the TNFalpha gene
- Problem 12: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 12: Provision of a polymorphism associated with expression of the E-Selectin gene
- Problem 13: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 13: Provision of a polymorphism associated the TP53 gene
- Problem 14: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 14: Provision of a polymorphism associated with the Microsomal epoxide hydrase I gene
- Problem 15: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 15: Provision of a polymorphism associated with Endothelin converting enzyme gene promoter region gene

- Problem 16: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 16: Provision of a polymorphism associated the Heatshock protein promoter region
- Problem 17: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 17: Provision of a polymorphism associated with CD95 gene promoter region gene
- Problem 18: Provision of an alternative genetic polymorphism associated with optic neuropathy
- Solution 18: Provision of a polymorphism associated with adrenergic receptor gene
- Problem 19: Provision of an isolated polynucleotide
- Solution 19: Provision of an isolated polynucleotide disclosed in claim 25
- Problem 20: Provision of an isolated polynucleotide
- Solution 20: Provision of an isolated polynucleotide disclosed in claim 27
- Problem 21: Provision of an isolated polynucleotide
- Solution 21: Provision of an isolated polynucleotide disclosed in claim 28
- 8. Please be advised that disclaimers may restore novelty, but not an inventive step and a common inventive concept.
- 9. Please note also that Rule 13 PCT has a regulatory function (to prevent unjustified saving of fees, and to ensure ready comprehensibility). Also from this more pragmatic approach the present application lacks unity of invention: First, due to the lack of constant characteristic "special technical features", competitors cannot inform themselves readily on the existing situation regarding protective rights. Second, the equitable levying of fees has to be respected. Because of its heterogeneous content, the present application entails a far greater than average expense in the procedure up to grant (keep in mind that there is an ample background concerning subject-matter with related technical and functional features, thus necessitating

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/005601

several independent searches of restricted scope).